

Subject: VB: Comments on EMEP report to MONAS_SE

From: <Hakan.Staaf@naturvardsverket.se>

Date: Fri, 21 Nov 2008 13:16:52 +0100

To: <jerzy.bartnicki@met.no>

CC: <Anna.Jonsson@naturvardsverket.se>, <Tove.Lundeberg@naturvardsverket.se>

Dear Jerzy!

Here are a few comments from Sweden on the report "Atmospheric Supply of Nitrogen,...to the Baltic Sea in 2006" presented for MONAS 11.

General: It is a good report, as always.

Main report:

- In some diagrams the Y-axes lack denotation and unit (only given in the legend). Perhaps you can add it on all diagrammes
- I would have been good to see station codes on all small maps, but we realise that they are small and the space limited. Not a demand.
- Figure 4.1, 5.1, 6.1 and 7.1 could be moved after the emission maps in the respective chapters (this was discussed at the meeting).
- In the legend for same figures the unit (%) should be clarified. Percent per deposited in per 50x50 km grid?
- Some words; page 5, replace (natural fertilizer) by manure. Figure 3.6, explain ENTEC.
- Figure 4.14. The year 2006 is missing.

Appendix D:

- The Key message should be started by saying that no apparent trend could be determined for nitrogen loads entering the Baltic Sea. The word apparent may be better than significant.
- Page 132. Some letters have been mixed in the 2nd section from the bottom. Page 133, multipollutant

Best regards

HÅKAN STAAF

SWEDISH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Environmental Assessment Department

OFFICE: Valhallavägen 195, Stockholm

POSTAL: SE-106 48 Stockholm, Sweden

TEL: + 46 8 698 14 42, FAX: + 46 8 698 15 84

INTERNET: www.naturvardsverket.se

Please consider the environment before printing this email

image002.gif	Content-Description: image002.gif
	Content-Type: image/gif
	Content-Encoding: base64